

# TSARP Appeals and Protests

The following is a general description of each of the appeals and protests submitted to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) during the 90-day Appeal and Protest period. It contains the resolution that was reached for each appeal or protest and for those that were rejected it contains a brief explanation. For more information about a particular appeal or protest please contact FEMA or the Harris County Flood Control District at 713-684-4071. The ID Numbers correspond to the labels on the Interactive Mapping Tool, and the appeals and protests are also listed by watershed. All the maps available on the TSARP website now contain the revised mapping based on the accepted appeals and protests.

<u>ID Number</u>	<u>Watershed</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>General Description</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Reason for Denial</u>
1	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Reserve by the Lake Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
2	White Oak Bayou	Protest Fill	Existing Development & topographic data above BFE--request that topography be added to the model and that the Gessner Northwest Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain and Floodway	Accepted	
3	Goose Creek	Protest Base Map	Streets for the City of Baytown to be added to the base map	Accepted	
4	Brays Bayou	Protest Base Map	Streets for the Royal Palms Subdivision to be added to the base map	Accepted	
5	Armand Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Arbor Leaf Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
6	Willow Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Willow Falls Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
7	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Brunswick Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
8	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Village of College Place Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The areas in question were less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, they must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
9	Little Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling along Little Cypress Creek and specifically for the Northlake Forest Subdivision	Accepted	
10	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Fall Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
11	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Cypress Creek Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
12	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Highland Glen Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
13	Brays Bayou	Protest Base Map	Streets for the Royal Palms Subdivision to be added to the base map	Accepted	
14	Sims Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Regal Oaks Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
15	San Jacinto River	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
16	Clear Creek	Protest Base Map	Change of a street name on the base map	Accepted	
17	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Changes to the mapping along Turkey Creek	Accepted	
18	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Foxwood Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
19	Little Cypress Creek	Appeal Floodway	Appeal requesting the optimization of the floodway along a portion of Little Cypress Creek	Accepted	
20	Addicks Reservoir	Appeal	Appeal requesting that an approved Conditional Letter of Map Revision be added to the modeling and mapping for Dinner Creek	Accepted	
21	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Lakes on Eldridge North Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
22	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Reserve at Cypress Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
23	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Changes to the mapping along Horsepen Creek based on better elevation data	Accepted	
24	Cypress Creek	Appeal Floodway	Appeal requesting the optimization of the floodway along a portion of Cypress Creek in the Wimbledon Estates Subdivision	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
25	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the College Place Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
26	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Bammel Trace Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
27	Greens Bayou	Protest Base Map	Change to a stream centerline on the base map	Accepted	
28	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Village Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
29	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Eldridge Green Amherst Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
30	Sims Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Regal Oaks Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
31	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Harris County Youth Service Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The construction was not complete at the time of the mapping and the area in question will need to be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
32	White Oak Bayou	Protest Base Map	Channel has been filled and should be removed from the map	Accepted	
33	White Oak Bayou	Protest Base Map	Channel has been filled and should be removed from the map	Accepted	
34	Brays Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
35	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of a site at Beechnut Street and Wilcrest be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
36	Cypress Creek	Protest Base Map	Change to the streets on the base map	Denied	This issue only involved the TSARP website and not the official FEMA Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
37	San Jacinto River	Appeal	Appeal requesting that Cotton Patch Bayou and Boggy Bayou studies be extended to include additional stream miles	Denied	The limits of the study were set based on the previous study and could not be extended during the appeals and protest period.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
38	Clear Creek	Appeal	Modeling and Mapping changes based on additional culverts under Alameda road	Accepted	
39	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development—Drainage work completed and/or fill dirt placed after October 2001 that is now being added to the mapping and modeling for the Royal Oaks Subdivision	Accepted	
40	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed during the construction of the McCarty Road Landfill after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the 1% floodplain be changed	Accepted	
41	Galveston Bay	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Searidge Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
42	Clear Creek	Protest Base Map	Changes to the Base Map and Floodway boundary in the Clear Creek Watershed	Accepted	
43	All	Protest	Request for additional review time of Preliminary Maps	Denied	The length of the Appeal and Protest period is set by congress and could not be changed, however, the release of Flood Hazard Recovery Data did result in additional review time.
44	Cypress Creek	Appeal	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that changes be made to the modeling so that the Lakewood Crossing Subdivision can be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
45	Cypress Creek	Appeal Floodway	Appeal requesting the optimization of the floodway along a portion of Spring Gully in the Wimbledon Champions Subdivision	Accepted	
46	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development—Drainage work completed and/or fill dirt placed after October 2001 that is now being added to the mapping and modeling for the Stablewood Farms Subdivision	Accepted	
47	Greens Bayou	Protest Base Map	Change to a stream centerline on the base map	Accepted	
48	Spring Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Village of Creekside Park Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
49	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal for changes made to the modeling along Cypress Creek in the Northgate Forest Golf Course	Denied	Minor modeling changes that would have no affect on the final delineation of the floodplains.
50	Addicks Reservoir	Appeal Floodway	Appeal requesting changes to the floodway along South Mayde Creek at Greenhouse Road	Denied	The revisions to the modeling were not determined to be based on technically superior assumptions or data.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
51	Cypress Creek	Appeal	New Development—Drainage work completed and/or fill dirt placed after October 2001 that is now being added to the mapping and modeling for the Village of Cypress Lakes Subdivision	Accepted	
52	Spring Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Carlton Woods Creekside Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
53	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Cypress Terrace Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
54	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Eagle Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
55	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Houston Intercontinental Trade Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
56	Buffalo Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of a site along McKee Street be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
57	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
58	Buffalo Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
59	Barker Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Nottingham Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
60	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Blackhorse Ranch Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
61	Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot in the Wimbledon Champions Subdivision	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
62	San Jacinto River	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
63	Armand Bayou	Appeal	Appeal of various modeling issues inside the city of Pasadena including changes to the modeling and mapping for fill dirt place in the Village Grove Subdivision to remove it from the floodplain	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
64	Willow Creek	Protest	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Stonepine Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
65	Brays Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing parts of the area to be out of the 1% floodplain in the Braes Heights Subdivision	Accepted	
66	Brays Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing parts of the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
67	Greens Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	New Development--challenging the delineation of the floodplain in the Alafah Subdivision	Denied	The revised elevation data that was provided was based on an old survey datum and no adjustment was provided to FEMA.
68	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Fall Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
69	Jackson Bayou	Appeal	Changes to the modeling and mapping for Jackson Bayou based on the addition of a culvert at 1st Street	Denied	Improvements made to Jackson Bayou by the Harris County Flood Control District will be incorporated into the mapping through the traditional Letter of Map Revision process.
70	Spring Creek	Appeal	Changes to the modeling and mapping for Spring Creek based on more detailed information provided by Montgomery County	Accepted	
71	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Base Map	Change to streets on the base map	Denied	This issue only involved the TSARP website and not the official FEMA Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
72	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt to be placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision, Section 3 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.
73	San Jacinto River	Appeal	Appeal requesting that Glenmore Street Ditch study be extended to include additional stream miles	Denied	The limits of the study were set based on the previous study and could not be extended during the appeals and protest period.
74	Galveston Bay	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
75	Greens Bayou	Appeal	New Development--request that FEMA include an accepted Letter of Map Revision that was not included in the Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map and that the Houston Intercontinental Trade Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The information provided was older than the new study and therefore the new maps supersedes an previous Letters of Map Revision.
76	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Copper Falls Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
77	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the mapping of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
78	Buffalo Bayou	Protest	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
79	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	New Development--challenging the delineation of the floodplain in the Coles Crossing Subdivision	Accepted	
80	Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
81	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling and mapping of Big Gulch Gully based on drainage improvements	Denied	The Appeal was based on different modeling techniques than were used for TSARP, the changes may be included in the future through the Letter of Map Revision process.
82	San Jacinto River	Appeal	New Development—Drainage work completed that changes the subwatershed areas and modeling in the Summerwood Subdivision area	Accepted	
83	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Modeling and Mapping changes based on the addition of a culvert under Stuebner Airline at the HCFCD channel K131-02-04 in the Cypress Creek Watershed	Denied	The area in question is upstream of the limit of detailed study and there is no Special Flood Hazard Area to be revised.
84	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Changes to the modeling and mapping based on an inaccurate modeling of a bridge at Harrell Dwyer Road	Accepted	
85	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Calumet Lofts Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
86	Buffalo Bayou	Appeal	Appeal based on changes made in the watershed that are outside of Harris County	Denied	The Appeal was based on changes made in Fort Bend County using different modeling techniques that may be included when FEMA completes the remapping of Fort Bend County.
87	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of Westwood Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
88	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of a site at Boone and Bellaire be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
89	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of Westwood Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
90	White Oak Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Stonebrook Crossing Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
91	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and the Beltway Plaza Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
92	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Alief Forest Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
93	San Jacinto River	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling and mapping of the West Fork of the San Jacinto River	Denied	Some changes were made to the West Fork of the San Jacinto River but those changes did not result in a Base Flood Elevation as low as were requested by the appeal.
94	White Oak Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after October 2001 be added to the model and that the area be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
95	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of a site at Cook Road and Brook Glade be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Areas were still below the Base Flood Elevation or less than the 2 acre size requirement to be shown as outside the 1% (100yr) floodplain.
96	Cypress Creek	Protest	Protest of the Flood Plain Boundary based on LiDAR and field observation of drainage patterns	Accepted	
97	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Park Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
98	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal requesting the optimization of the floodway along a portion of Cypress Creek	Denied	Floodway was determined to be fully optimized in the area.
99	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the 1% floodplain be changed	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
100	All	Appeal	Appeal of the rainfall amounts used in the modeling	Denied	A published United States Geological Survey study was used and FEMA approves flow data and not rainfall data.
101	Barker Reservoir	Appeal	Appeal based on changes made in the watershed that are outside of Harris County	Denied	The Appeal was based on changes made in Fort Bend County using different modeling techniques that may be included when FEMA completes the remapping of Fort Bend County.
102	Brays Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing parts of the area to be out of the 1% floodplain in the Ashford Park Subdivision	Denied	The areas in question were less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, they must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
103	All	Protest Base Data	Various base map changes across Harris County	Denied	Many changes to the base map were made but not all of the changes were accepted by FEMA.
104	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling in the Cypress Creek Watershed	Denied	The District is working to resolve this issue pertaining to Cypress Creek West of 290 through the Letter of Map Revision process.
105	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal of the mapping of the overflow from Cypress Creek to the Addicks Reservoir Watershed	Denied	The District is working to resolve this issue pertaining to Cypress Creek West of 290 through the Letter of Map Revision process.
106	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Wimbeldon Champions Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
107	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Linnfield Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
108	Carpenters Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Liberty Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
109	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Champion Gardens Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
110	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Changes to the modeling based on improvements made to Seals and Spring Gully	Accepted	
112	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling and mapping of Big Gulch Gully based on drainage improvements	Denied	The Appeal was based on different modeling techniques than were used for TSARP, the changes may be included in the future through the Letter of Map Revision process.
113	Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for individual lots	Denied	The areas in question were less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, they must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
114	Cypress Creek	Appeal	New Development--request that drainage work completed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Champions Lake Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
115	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Windmill Villas Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
116	Willow Creek	Appeal	Changes to the mapping based on modeling changes from Appeal ID#70	Denied	Mapping changes were made with the acceptance of Appeal #70 but the changes were not found to be as great as those requested in this appeal.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
117	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
118	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
119	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
120	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
121	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
122	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
123	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
124	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
125	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
126	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
127	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
128	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
129	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
130	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
131	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
132	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
133	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
134	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
135	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
136	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
137	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
138	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
139	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
140	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
141	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
142	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
143	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
144	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
145	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
146	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
147	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
148	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
149	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
150	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
151	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
152	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
153	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
154	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
155	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
156	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
157	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
158	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
159	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
160	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
161	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
162	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
163	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
164	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
165	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
166	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
167	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
168	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
169	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
170	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
171	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
172	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
173	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
174	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
175	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
176	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
177	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
178	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
179	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
180	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
181	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
182	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
183	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
184	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
185	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
186	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
187	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
188	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
189	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
190	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
191	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
192	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
193	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
194	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
195	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
196	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
197	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
198	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
199	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
200	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
201	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
202	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
203	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
204	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
205	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
206	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
207	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
208	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
209	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
210	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
211	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
212	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
213	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
214	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
215	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
216	Buffalo Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
217	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the AISD School at Green Oak Park be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
218	Spring Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Northgate Crossing Subdivision, Section 10 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
219	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision STP site be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
220	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision, Section 1 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
221	Willow Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Village of Creekside Park Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
223	Galveston Bay	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Searidge Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
224	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt to be placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision, Section 4 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.
225	White Oak Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
226	Spring Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt to be placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Carlton Woods Creekside Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
227	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that drainage work completed and fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Louetta Glen Subdivision Commercial Reserve be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
228	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that sections of the Villages of Northpointe West Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
229	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that drainage work completed and fill dirt placed after October 2001 be added to the model and the Gleannloch Farms Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
230	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Changes to the modeling and mapping in the overflow area for the Maple Ridge Place Subdivision	Denied	Changes to the mapping and modeling will be handled in the future through the FEMA Letter of Map Revision process.
231	Clear Creek	Appeal	Modeling and Mapping changes based on the addition of the Pearland Parkway Bridge	Accepted	
232	All	Appeal	Appeal of the rainfall amounts used in the modeling	Denied	A published United States Geological Survey study was used and FEMA approves flow data and not rainfall data.
233	Armand Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the floodplain delineation along HCFCD channel B104-03-00 in the Armand Bayou Watershed	Denied	The minor mapping issue was determined to be correctly mapped on the Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
234	Buffalo Bayou	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling at the confluence of White Oak Bayou and Buffalo Bayou	Denied	The number of model cross sections was challenged but the new model was found to have had more cross sections and be a better representation of the area.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
235	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Appeal requesting that additional detention storage in the P545-00-00 basin be added to the modeling	Denied	The detention basin is not yet complete the changes will be made with a Letter of Map Revision once the work is completed by the Harris County Flood Control District.
236	Spring Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
237	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
238	Clear Creek	Appeal	Modeling and Mapping changes based on additional culverts under Alameda road	Accepted	
239	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Lakes of Bridgewater Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
240	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Brunswick Meadows Subdivision, Section 3 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
241	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Brunswick Meadows Subdivision, Section 2 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
242	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Brunswick Meadows Subdivision, Section 1 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
243	Willow Creek	Appeal	New Development--Appeal of the Willow Creek 1% floodplain delineation based upon field observations of Boudreaux Road for the Gleannloch Farms Subdivision	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
244	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Eagles Landing Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Changes to the mapping and modeling will be handled in the future through the FEMA Letter of Map Revision process.
245	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the delineation of the 1% floodplain be changed	Accepted	
246	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Blackhorse Ranch Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
247	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision Commercial Reserve be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
248	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision Commercial Tract be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.
249	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt to be placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision, Section 2 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.
250	Willow Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Gleannloch Farms Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
251	Goose Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of flooding concerns along Goose Creek	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
252	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
253	Buffalo Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Challenge to the floodplain delineation	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
254	Cedar Bayou	Protest Base Map	Change to a stream centerline on the base map	Accepted	
255	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Reserve by the Lake Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
256	Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
257	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Lakes of Rosehill Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
258	Spring Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
259	Goose Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of flooding concerns along Goose Creek	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.

## TSARP Appeals and Protests by Watershed

<u>ID Number</u>	<u>Watershed</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>General Description</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Reason for Denial</u>
1	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Reserve by the Lake Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
17	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Changes to the mapping along Turkey Creek	Accepted	
20	Addicks Reservoir	Appeal	Appeal requesting that an approved Conditional Letter of Map Revision be added to the modeling and mapping for Dinner Creek	Accepted	
21	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Lakes on Eldridge North Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
23	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Changes to the mapping along Horsepen Creek based on better elevation data	Accepted	
50	Addicks Reservoir	Appeal Floodway	Appeal requesting changes to the floodway along South Mayde Creek at Greenhouse Road	Denied	The revisions to the modeling were not determined to be based on technically superior assumptions or data.
239	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Lakes of Bridgewater Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
255	Addicks Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Reserve by the Lake Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
43	All	Protest	Request for additional review time of Preliminary Maps	Denied	The length of the Appeal and Protest period is set by congress and could not be changed, however, the release of Flood Hazard Recovery Data did result in additional review time.
57	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
77	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the mapping of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
100	All	Appeal	Appeal of the rainfall amounts used in the modeling	Denied	A published United States Geological Survey study was used and FEMA approves flow data and not rainfall data.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
103	All	Protest Base Data	Various base map changes across Harris County	Denied	Many changes to the base map were made but not all of the changes were accepted by FEMA.
117	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
118	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
119	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
120	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
121	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
122	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
123	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
124	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
125	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
126	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
127	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
128	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
129	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
130	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
131	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
132	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
133	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
134	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
135	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
136	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
137	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
138	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
139	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
140	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
141	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<u>ID Number</u>	<u>Watershed</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>General Description</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Reason for Denial</u>
142	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
143	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
144	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
145	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
146	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
147	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
148	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
149	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
150	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
151	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
152	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
153	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
154	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
155	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
156	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
157	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
158	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
159	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
160	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
161	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
162	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
163	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
164	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
165	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
166	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
167	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
168	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
169	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
170	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
171	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
172	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
173	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
174	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
175	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
176	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
177	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
178	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
179	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
180	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
181	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
182	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
183	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
184	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
185	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
186	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
187	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
188	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
189	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
190	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
191	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
192	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
193	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
194	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
195	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
196	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
197	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
198	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
199	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
200	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
201	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
202	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
203	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
204	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
205	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
206	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
207	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
208	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
209	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
210	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
211	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
212	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
213	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
214	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
215	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
232	All	Appeal	Appeal of the rainfall amounts used in the modeling	Denied	A published United States Geological Survey study was used and FEMA approves flow data and not rainfall data.
237	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
252	All	TXDOT	Appeal of the modeling of TX DOT bridges in Harris County	Denied	Appeal was not valid based on FEMA mapping standards for bridges.
5	Armand Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Arbor Leaf Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
63	Armand Bayou	Appeal	Appeal of various modeling issues inside the city of Pasadena including changes to the modeling and mapping for fill dirt place in the Village Grove Subdivision to remove it from the floodplain	Accepted	
233	Armand Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the floodplain delineation along HCFCD channel B104-03-00 in the Armand Bayou Watershed	Denied	The minor mapping issue was determined to be correctly mapped on the Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
59	Barker Reservoir	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Nottingham Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
101	Barker Reservoir	Appeal	Appeal based on changes made in the watershed that are outside of Harris County	Denied	The Appeal was based on changes made in Fort Bend County using different modeling techniques that may be included when FEMA completes the remapping of Fort Bend County.
4	Brays Bayou	Protest Base Map	Streets for the Royal Palms Subdivision to be added to the base map	Accepted	
13	Brays Bayou	Protest Base Map	Streets for the Royal Palms Subdivision to be added to the base map	Accepted	
29	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Eldridge Green Amherst Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
31	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Harris County Youth Service Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The construction was not complete at the time of the mapping and the area in question will need to be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
34	Brays Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
35	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of a site at Beechnut Street and Wilcrest be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
39	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development—Drainage work completed and/or fill dirt placed after October 2001 that is now being added to the mapping and modeling for the Royal Oaks Subdivision	Accepted	
65	Brays Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing parts of the area to be out of the 1% floodplain in the Braes Heights Subdivision	Accepted	
66	Brays Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing parts of the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
85	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Calumet Lofts Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
87	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of Westwood Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
88	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of a site at Boone and Bellaire be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
89	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of Westwood Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
91	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and the Beltway Plaza Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
92	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Alief Forest Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
95	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of a site at Cook Road and Brook Glade be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Areas were still below the Base Flood Elevation or less than the 2 acre size requirement to be shown as outside the 1% (100yr) floodplain.
102	Brays Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing parts of the area to be out of the 1% floodplain in the Ashford Park Subdivision	Denied	The areas in question were less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, they must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
115	Brays Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Windmill Villas Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
56	Buffalo Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of a site along McKee Street be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
58	Buffalo Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
78	Buffalo Bayou	Protest	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
86	Buffalo Bayou	Appeal	Appeal based on changes made in the watershed that are outside of Harris County	Denied	The Appeal was based on changes made in Fort Bend County using different modeling techniques that may be included when FEMA completes the remapping of Fort Bend County.

<u>ID Number</u>	<u>Watershed</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>General Description</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Reason for Denial</u>
216	Buffalo Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
234	Buffalo Bayou	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling at the confluence of White Oak Bayou and Buffalo Bayou	Denied	The number of model cross sections was challenged but the new model was found to have had more cross sections and be a better representation of the area.
253	Buffalo Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Challenge to the floodplain delineation	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
108	Carpenters Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Liberty Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
254	Cedar Bayou	Protest Base Map	Change to a stream centerline on the base map	Accepted	
7	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Brunswick Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
8	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Village of College Place Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The areas in question were less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, they must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
16	Clear Creek	Protest Base Map	Change of a street name on the base map	Accepted	
25	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the College Place Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
38	Clear Creek	Appeal	Modeling and Mapping changes based on additional culverts under Alameda road	Accepted	
42	Clear Creek	Protest Base Map	Changes to the Base Map and Floodway boundary in the Clear Creek Watershed	Accepted	
231	Clear Creek	Appeal	Modeling and Mapping changes based on the addition of the Pearland Parkway Bridge	Accepted	
238	Clear Creek	Appeal	Modeling and Mapping changes based on additional culverts under Alameda road	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
240	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Brunswick Meadows Subdivision, Section 3 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
241	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Brunswick Meadows Subdivision, Section 2 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
242	Clear Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Brunswick Meadows Subdivision, Section 1 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
11	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Cypress Creek Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
12	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Highland Glen Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
18	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Foxwood Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
24	Cypress Creek	Appeal Floodway	Appeal requesting the optimization of the floodway along a portion of Cypress Creek in the Wimbledon Estates Subdivision	Accepted	
28	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Village Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
36	Cypress Creek	Protest Base Map	Change to the streets on the base map	Denied	This issue only involved the TSARP website and not the official FEMA Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
44	Cypress Creek	Appeal	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that changes be made to the modeling so that the Lakewood Crossing Subdivision can be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
45	Cypress Creek	Appeal Floodway	Appeal requesting the optimization of the floodway along a portion of Spring Gully in the Wimbledon Champions Subdivision	Accepted	
49	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal for changes made to the modeling along Cypress Creek in the Northgate Forest Golf Course	Denied	Minor modeling changes that would have no affect on the final delineation of the floodplains.
51	Cypress Creek	Appeal	New Development—Drainage work completed and/or fill dirt placed after October 2001 that is now being added to the mapping and modeling for the Village of Cypress Lakes Subdivision	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
53	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Cypress Terrace Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
60	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Blackhorse Ranch Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
61	Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot in the Wimbledon Champions Subdivision	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
80	Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
83	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Modeling and Mapping changes based on the addition of a culvert under Stuebner Airline at the HCFCD channel K131-02-04 in the Cypress Creek Watershed	Denied	The area in question is upstream of the limit of detailed study and there is no Special Flood Hazard Area to be revised.
96	Cypress Creek	Protest	Protest of the Flood Plain Boundary based on LiDAR and field observation of drainage patterns	Accepted	
98	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal requesting the optimization of the floodway along a portion of Cypress Creek	Denied	Floodway was determined to be fully optimized in the area.
99	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the 1% floodplain be changed	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
104	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling in the Cypress Creek Watershed	Denied	The District is working to resolve this issue pertaining to Cypress Creek West of 290 through the Letter of Map Revision process.
105	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal of the mapping of the overflow from Cypress Creek to the Addicks Reservoir Watershed	Denied	The District is working to resolve this issue pertaining to Cypress Creek West of 290 through the Letter of Map Revision process.
106	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Wimbledon Champions Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
109	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Champion Gardens Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
110	Cypress Creek	Appeal	Changes to the modeling based on improvements made to Seals and Spring Gully	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
113	Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for individual lots	Denied	The areas in question were less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, they must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
114	Cypress Creek	Appeal	New Development--request that drainage work completed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Champions Lake Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
227	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that drainage work completed and fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Louetta Glen Subdivision Commercial Reserve be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
228	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that sections of the Villages of Northpointe West Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
229	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that drainage work completed and fill dirt placed after October 2001 be added to the model and the Gleannloch Farms Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
245	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the delineation of the 1% floodplain be changed	Accepted	
246	Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Blackhorse Ranch Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
256	Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
41	Galveston Bay	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Searidge Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
74	Galveston Bay	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
223	Galveston Bay	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Searidge Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
3	Goose Creek	Protest Base Map	Streets for the City of Baytown to be added to the base map	Accepted	
251	Goose Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of flooding concerns along Goose Creek	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
259	Goose Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of flooding concerns along Goose Creek	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
10	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Fall Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
26	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Bammel Trace Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
27	Greens Bayou	Protest Base Map	Change to a stream centerline on the base map	Accepted	
40	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed during the construction of the McCarty Road Landfill after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the 1% floodplain be changed	Accepted	
47	Greens Bayou	Protest Base Map	Change to a stream centerline on the base map	Accepted	
54	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Eagle Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
55	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Houston Intercontinental Trade Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
67	Greens Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	New Development--challenging the delineation of the floodplain in the Alafah Subdivision	Denied	The revised elevation data that was provided was based on an old survey datum and no adjustment was provided to FEMA.
68	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Fall Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
72	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt to be placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision, Section 3 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.
75	Greens Bayou	Appeal	New Development--request that FEMA include an accepted Letter of Map Revision that was not included in the Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map and that the Houston Intercontinental Trade Center be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The information provided was older than the new study and therefore the new maps supersedes an previous Letters of Map Revision.
76	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Copper Falls Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.

<u>ID Number</u>	<u>Watershed</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>General Description</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Reason for Denial</u>
81	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling and mapping of Big Gulch Gully based on drainage improvements	Denied	The Appeal was based on different modeling techniques than were used for TSARP, the changes may be included in the future through the Letter of Map Revision process.
84	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Changes to the modeling and mapping based on an inaccurate modeling of a bridge at Harrell Dwyer Road	Accepted	
97	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Park Lakes Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
107	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Linnfield Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
112	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling and mapping of Big Gulch Gully based on drainage improvements	Denied	The Appeal was based on different modeling techniques than were used for TSARP, the changes may be included in the future through the Letter of Map Revision process.
217	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the AISD School at Green Oak Park be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
219	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision STP site be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
220	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision, Section 1 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
224	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt to be placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision, Section 4 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.
230	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Changes to the modeling and mapping in the overflow area for the Maple Ridge Place Subdivision	Denied	Changes to the mapping and modeling will be handled in the future through the FEMA Letter of Map Revision process.
235	Greens Bayou	Appeal	Appeal requesting that additional detention storage in the P545-00-00 basin be added to the modeling	Denied	The detention basin is not yet complete the changes will be made with a Letter of Map Revision once the work is completed by the Harris County Flood Control District.
244	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Eagles Landing Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Changes to the mapping and modeling will be handled in the future through the FEMA Letter of Map Revision process.
247	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision Commercial Reserve be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
248	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision Commercial Tract be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.
249	Greens Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt to be placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Sunset Ridge West Subdivision, Section 2 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	The data supplied was for future developments and the maps only reflect existing conditions at the time they are produced.
69	Jackson Bayou	Appeal	Changes to the modeling and mapping for Jackson Bayou based on the addition of a culvert at 1st Street	Denied	Improvements made to Jackson Bayou by the Harris County Flood Control District will be incorporated into the mapping through the traditional Letter of Map Revision process.
9	Little Cypress Creek	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling along Little Cypress Creek and specifically for the Northlake Forest Subdivision	Accepted	
19	Little Cypress Creek	Appeal Floodway	Appeal requesting the optimization of the floodway along a portion of Little Cypress Creek	Accepted	
22	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Reserve at Cypress Creek Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
46	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development—Drainage work completed and/or fill dirt placed after October 2001 that is now being added to the mapping and modeling for the Stablewood Farms Subdivision	Accepted	
71	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Base Map	Change to streets on the base map	Denied	This issue only involved the TSARP website and not the official FEMA Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps.
79	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	New Development--challenging the delineation of the floodplain in the Coles Crossing Subdivision	Accepted	
257	Little Cypress Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Lakes of Rosehill Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
15	San Jacinto River	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
37	San Jacinto River	Appeal	Appeal requesting that Cotton Patch Bayou and Boggy Bayou studies be extended to include additional stream miles	Denied	The limits of the study were set based on the previous study and could not be extended during the appeals and protest period.
62	San Jacinto River	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping based on LiDAR data showing the area to be out of the 1% floodplain	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
73	San Jacinto River	Appeal	Appeal requesting that Glenmore Street Ditch study be extended to include additional stream miles	Denied	The limits of the study were set based on the previous study and could not be extended during the appeals and protest period.
82	San Jacinto River	Appeal	New Development—Drainage work completed that changes the subwatershed areas and modeling in the Summerwood Subdivision area	Accepted	
93	San Jacinto River	Appeal	Appeal of the modeling and mapping of the West Fork of the San Jacinto River	Denied	Some changes were made to the West Fork of the San Jacinto River but those changes did not result in a Base Flood Elevation as low as were requested by the appeal.
14	Sims Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Regal Oaks Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
30	Sims Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Regal Oaks Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
48	Spring Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Village of Creekside Park Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
52	Spring Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Carlton Woods Creekside Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
70	Spring Creek	Appeal	Changes to the modeling and mapping for Spring Creek based on more detailed information provided by Montgomery County	Accepted	
218	Spring Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Northgate Crossing Subdivision, Section 10 be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
226	Spring Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt to be placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Carlton Woods Creekside Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
236	Spring Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
258	Spring Creek	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
2	White Oak Bayou	Protest Fill	Existing Development & topographic data above BFE--request that topography be added to the model and that the Gessner Northwest Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain and Floodway	Accepted	

<b>ID Number</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>General Description</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Reason for Denial</b>
32	White Oak Bayou	Protest Base Map	Channel has been filled and should be removed from the map	Accepted	
33	White Oak Bayou	Protest Base Map	Channel has been filled and should be removed from the map	Accepted	
90	White Oak Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Stonebrook Crossing Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
94	White Oak Bayou	Protest Fill	New Development—request that fill dirt placed after October 2001 be added to the model and that the area be removed from the 1% floodplain	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
225	White Oak Bayou	Protest Floodplain Delineation	Protest of the mapping for an individual lot	Denied	The area in question was less than 2 acres in size and cannot be shown on the map, therefore, it must be removed through the FEMA Letter of Map Amendment process.
6	Willow Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Willow Falls Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
64	Willow Creek	Protest	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that portions of the Stonepine Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
116	Willow Creek	Appeal	Changes to the mapping based on modeling changes from Appeal ID#70	Denied	Mapping changes were made with the acceptance of Appeal #70 but the changes were not found to be as great as those requested in this appeal.
221	Willow Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Village of Creekside Park Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	
243	Willow Creek	Appeal	New Development—Appeal of the Willow Creek 1% floodplain delineation based upon field observations of Boudreaux Road for the Gleannloch Farms Subdivision	Denied	Insufficient data was supplied to make any changes to the final mapping.
250	Willow Creek	Protest Fill	New Development--request that fill dirt placed after LiDAR was collected in October 2001 be added to the model and that the Gleannloch Farms Subdivision be removed from the 1% floodplain	Accepted	